





PRESENTER:  
**Kathrin A. Blum**  
kathrin.blum@uzh.ch

# Ongoing tailoring could guide implementation in practice and be evaluated in research if concepts, core elements, and methods were clearly defined.




**Figure 1: Ongoing tailoring process.** Following the prospective, initial selection of implementation strategies to address identified barriers (i.e., *one-off* tailoring), strategies are iteratively reconsidered. Guided by **continuous monitoring**, **ongoing tailoring** allows for **timely adjustments** to ensure strategies remain aligned with the evolving context and effectively address local needs.

## Systematic review: Ongoing tailoring (OT) approaches and their monitoring in the implementation of evidence-based interventions in healthcare settings




**Figure 2: Study selection.** We present some preliminary findings from our systematic review based on a **subset of 30 eligible trial protocols and related publications**.


**Cross-publication check: OT approaches are often reported across multiple publications.** To ensure relevance for the systematic review, we search for related studies and examine each study protocol along with its associated publications.



**Figure 3: Conceptual clarity of OT and overlap with other approaches.** OT lacks a consistent and clear definition. In fact, only 50% of the studies within the selected subset employ the term "tailoring" when describing OT. Additionally, OT is most frequently characterized as a component of a facilitation approach.



**Figure 4: Data collection methods and monitoring approaches.** Data collection methods outlined in the included protocols comprise surveys, interviews, focus groups, and meetings. The stacked bar chart illustrates the percentages of study protocols using the specified terminology to describe the data monitoring approaches.



**Figure 5: Approaches to decision-making in OT and participants involved.** Decision-making in OT involves diverse approaches and participants: some studies follow a top-down approach led by external teams, others foster collaboration between external and internal teams, while a third approach relies solely on local teams.

<sup>1</sup> Institute for Implementation Science in Health Care, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup> Norwegian Centre for Violence and Traumatic Stress Studies (NKVTS), Oslo, Norway

<sup>3</sup> Centre for Health Policy, Melbourne School of Population and Global Health, The University of Melbourne, Carlton, Victoria, Australia

<sup>4</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases and Hospital Epidemiology, University Hospital Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

